

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/468,538	12/21/1999	HIDEKAZU SAKAI	0649-0710P-S	3756	
75	90 03/20/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 747			WALKE, AMANDA C		
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 220400747		WALKE, AMAMBA C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752		
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/468,538	SAKAI ET AL.			
,,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amanda C Walke	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in		
. /	PLY [check either a) or b)]	·			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin			takan arata lakar da		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejectinE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or		
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 February 2003</u>.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)</li> </ol>	• •	•	forth in		
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the		
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-9 and 11-24</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
  0 Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<del></del>			
<del></del>					
And C. Week 3/13/2003					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has again argued that the examiner is incorrect in saying that the declaration evidence is not commensurate in scope with the present claim limitations. This argument has been addressed in the Final Rejection. Additionally applicant has argued that the pH of Fujita is actually the emulsion pH, not the pH of the film material. In column 85 of the reference, both emulsions J and K are discussed, and each emulsion has a pH of 6.2. In Table 5, a film material comprising alternating layers of emulsions J ans K i sprepared, therefore, since every emulsion of the material has a pH of 6.2, it is the position of the examiner is that the prepared film material which is made up of all of these layers would also have a pH of 6.2.

ROSEMARY ASHTON PRIMARY EXAMINER